Site: MTUER'S FOUNDUP Break: 1,8 Other: V2

# PA Scoresheets

Site Name:	Miller's	tour	dry	-
CERCLIS ID No			/	-
Street Address	1220	Ambe	c Hille	RJ.
City/State/Zip:				

nvestigator:	Jera	, Che	a wo	wel
Agency/Organiz	estion:	DEM,	Lar	1
Street Address:	1751	Dick:	NSAN	Do
City/State/Zip:	Monta	newely_	AL	<u> </u>
Date: A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	- /		1



### INSTRUCTIONS FOR SCORESHEETS

Jan U

Introduction

This scoresheets package functions as a self-contained workbook providing all of the basic tools to apply collected data and calculate a PA score. Note that a computerized scoring tool, "PA-Score," is also available from EPA (Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Directive 9345.1-11). The scoresheets provide space to:

- Record information collected during the PA
- Indicate references to support information
- Select and assign values ("scores") for factors
- Calculate pathway scores
- Calculate the site score

Do not enter values or scores in shaded areas of the scoresheets. You are encouraged to write notes on the scoresheets and especially on the Criteria Lists. On scoresheets with a reference column, indicate a number corresponding to attached sources of information or pages containing rationale for hypotheses; attach to the scoresheets a numbered list of these references. Evaluate all four pathways. Complete all Criteria Lists, scoresheets, and tables. Show calculations, as appropriate. If scoresheets are photocopy reproduced, copy and submit the numbered pages (right-side pages) only.

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

Site Description and Operational History: Briefly describe the site and its operating history. Provide the site name, owner/operator, type of facility and operations, size of property, active or inactive status, and years of waste generation. Summarize waste treatment, storage, or disposal activities that have or may have occurred at the site; note also if these activities are documented or alleged. Identify probable source types and prior spills. Summarize highlights of previous investigations.

Probable Substances of Concern: List hazardous substances that have or may have been stored, handled, or disposed at the site, based on your knowledge of site operations. Identify the sources to which the substances may be related. Summarize any existing analytical data concerning hazardous substances detected onsite, in releases from the site, or at targets.

### GENERAL INFORMATION

### Site Description and Operational History:

Site Description

The area of the site is approximately 2 acres with a minimal slope due to grading of the property; however, the site has a steep slope on the western portion of the property which is fill material with visible wastes and drums in the face of this area. There are many areas of vegetation onsite which do appear to be unnaturally stressed (Attachments E,F). There are no structures remaining on the property. The property formerly housed the foundry building of 35,789 square feet which was sold for scrap by Southtrust Bank and dismantled by Western Steel Inc. The property is totally unsecured.

### Operational History and Waste Characteristics

The site was operated for the past several years by Jones Plumbing Systems, Inc. and Jones Manufacturing Company, Inc. The site is currently owned by Southtrust Bank, due to bankruptcy, and is for sale; however, the Deed is still held by representatives of Jones Plumbing by Mr. Lynn P. Harrison III with Curtis, Mallet-Provost. Colt, and Mosle at 101 Park Avenue New York, New York 10178-0061 phone (212) 696-6199 (Attachment G). A former party in site operations is "Butch" Jones who operates Jones Stephens Company in Moody, Alabama phone (800) 35-Jones. Portions of the site were also built on and contamination has spread to the abandoned railroad grade right-of-way for the old Central of Georgia Railroad (Attachment F). Site operations began sometime in the 1950's where site operations have existed until the site was abandoned in December of 1995. The site has no past regulatory history. The types of materials handled are: foundry sands used in the casting. and molding process, resins for making molds, paints used for the finished castings, solvents for cleaning and paint thinning, PCBs which are suspected in the area of the former power plant which is now dismantled – this area now contains only one transformer (others were sold by Southtrust) but still has approximately 120 large capacitors, and asbestos is also located at this site. The known disposal practice was to place waste around the property and to have wastes and foundry sands removed by a local resident by dump truck to be placed at various locations in the surrounding area. The amount of spillage and disposal is unknown. When questioning the person who removed the wastes he stated he had been removing wastes from the site for over 40 years and that he could not estimate the total number of loads removed. The type sources at the site then are approximately 73 drums of unknown contents onsite, approximately 30 drums which can be seen in the face of the fill area – suspected many more buried, 4 dip vats of paint waste estimated to be 1,200 gallons, several piles of various materials totaling approximately 4,950 square feet, the fill area of approximately ½ acre, a naturally occurring surface impoundment of 6,000 square feet - 30x200 feet, and 3 acres of associated contaminated soil.


### **GENERAL INFORMATION (continued)**

Site Sketch: Prepare a sketch of the site (freehand is acceptable). Indicate all pertinent features of the site and nearby environs, including: waste sources, buildings, residences, access roads, parking areas, drainage patterns, water bodies, vegetation, wells, sensitive environments, etc.

### **GENERAL INFORMATION (continued)**

Site Sketch:

(Show all pertinent features, indicate sources and closest targets, indicate north)



### SOURCE EVALUATION

- Number and name each source (e.g., 1. East Drum Storage Area, 2. Sludge Lagoon, 3. Battery Pile).
- Identify source type according to the list below.
- Describe the physical character of each source (e.g., dimensions, contents, waste types, containment, operating history).
- Show waste quantity (WQ) calculations for each source for appropriate tiers. Refer to instructions opposite
  page 5 and PA Tables 1a and 1b. Identify waste quantity tier and waste characteristics (WC) factor category
  score (for a site with a single source, according to PA Table 1a). Determine WC from PA Table 1b for the sum
  of source WQs for a multiple-source site.
- Attach additional sheets if necessary.
- Determine the site WC factor category score and record at the bottom of the page.

#### **Source Type Descriptions**

Landin: an engineered (by excevation or construction) or natural hole in the ground into which wastes have been disposed by backfilling, or by contemporaneous soil deposition with waste disposal, covering wastes from view.

<u>Surface impoundment</u>: a topographic depression, excavation, or diked area, primarily formed from earthen materials (lined or unlined) and designed to hold accumulated figuid wastes, wastes containing free liquids, or sludges that were not backfilled or otherwise covered during periods of deposition; depression may be dry if deposited liquid has evaporated, volatilized or leached, or wat with exposed liquid; structures that may be more specifically described as legoon pend, seration pit, sattling pend, tailings pend, studge pit, etc.; also a surface impoundment that has been covered with soil after the final deposition of waste materials (i.e., buried or backfilled).

<u>Drums</u>: portable containers designed to hold a standard 55-gallon volume of wastes.

<u>Tanks and Non-Drum Containers</u>: any stationary device, designed to contain accumulated westes, constructed primarily of fabricated materials (such as wood, concrete, steel, or plastic) that provide atructural support; any portable or mobile device in which waste is stored or otherwise handled.

Contaminated Soil: soil onto which evailable evidence indicates that a hazardous substance was spilled, spread, disposed, or deposited.

PSe: any non-containerized accumulation above the ground surface of solid, non-flowing wastes; includes open dumps. Some types of piles are: Chemical Waste Pile — consists primarily of discarded chemical products, by-products, radioactive wastes, or used or unused feedstocks; Scrap Metal or Junk Pile — consists primarily of scrap metal or discarded durable goods such as appliances, automobiles, auto parts, or betteries, composed of materials suspected to contain or have contained a hazardous substance; Tailings Pile — consists primarily of any combination of overburden from a mining operation and tailings from a mineral mining, beneficiation, or processing operation; Trash Pile — consists primarily of paper, garbage, or discarded non-durable goods which are suspected to contain or have contained a hazardous substance.

<u>Land Trentment</u>: landfarming or other land treatment method of waste management in which liquid wastes or studges are spread over land end tilled, or liquids are injected at shellow depths into soils.

Other: a source that does not fit any of the descriptions above; examples include contaminated building, ground water plume with no identifiable source, storm drain, dry well, and injection well.

### SOURCE EVALUATION

Source Name:	Source Waste Quantity (WQ) Calculations:	$\overline{\ \ }$
Source Description:	103 Drums	
Approximately 103 drams are visible ousite. Suspect more	103 Drums = 10	
buried.	10.3	
	·	

Source Name:	Source Waste Quantity (WQ) Calculations:
Source Description: Several dip vats onsite	1,200 gallons
with contents remaining	1,200 gallons: 500
estimated at 1,200 gallons.	2.4
ţ	

Source Name:	Source Weste Quentity (WQ) Calculations:
Source Description:	4,950 Ft > Pile
Several piles of various material estimated at	4,950 Ft2: 13
4,950 square Feet.	380.8
	Site WC:

Source Name:	Source Weste Quantity (WQ) Calculations:
Source Description: Fill area estimated	1/2 acre Land F:11
at 1/2 acre.	0.5 acre = 0.078
·	6.4

Source Nome:  No.: ) \( \text{Source Name:} \)  \[ \text{Laggar}  \text{(Safface Two Dots)} \]	Source Waste Quantity (WQ) Calculations:
Source Description:	6,000 Ft & Lagoon
Offsite layour of accumulated waste material. Estimated	6,000 Ft - 13
at 6,000 Ft2.	461.5

Source Name: No.: 0 10 (antaminated Sail	Source Weste Quantity (WQ) Calculations:
Source Description: Three acres of associated	Bacres contaminated soil
contaminated soil.	Bacres = 0.78
	3.8
	i
	<u> </u>

10.3+ 2.4+ 380.8+ 6.4 + 461.5+ 3.8= 865.2

### **WASTE CHARACTERISTICS (WC) SCORES**

WC, based on waste quantity, may be determined by one or all of four measures called "tiers": constituent quantity, wastestream quantity, source volume, and source area. PA Table 1a (page 5) is divided into these four tiers. The amount and detail of information available determine which tier(s) to use for each source. For each source, evaluate waste quantity by as many of the tiers as you have information to support, and select the result that gives you the highest WC score. If minimal, incomplete, or no information is available regarding waste quantity, assign a WC score of 18 (minimum).

PA Table 1a has 6 columns: column 1 indicates the quantity tier; column 2 lists source types for the four tiers; columns 3, 4, and 5 provide ranges of waste amount for sites with only one source, which correspond to WC scores at the top of the columns (18, 32, or 100); column 6 provides formulas to obtain source waste quantity (WQ) values at sites with multiple sources.

### To determine WC for alter with only one source:

- 1. Identify source type (see descriptions opposite page 4).
- Examine ell weste quantity data evallable.
- Estimate the mass and/or dimensions of the source.
- 4. Determine which quantity tiers to use based on evellable source information.
- 5. Convert source measurements to appropriate units for each tier you can evaluate for the source.
- 6. Identify the range into which the total quantity falls for each tier evaluated (PA Table 1s).
- 7. Determine the highest WC score obtained for any tier (18, 32, or 100, at top of PA Table 1a columns 3, 4, and 5, respectively).
- 8. Use this WC score for all pathways. \*

### To determine WC for sites with multiple sources:

- 1. Identify each source type (see descriptions opposite page 4).
- 2. Examine all waste quantity data evaluable for each source.
- Estimate the mass and/or dimensions of each source.
- 4. Determine which quantity tiers to use for each source based on the evallable information.
- 5. Convert source measurements to appropriate units for each tier you can evaluate for each source.
- For each source, use the formulas in column 6 of PA Table 1s to determine the WQ value for each tier that can be evaluated. The highest WQ value obtained for any tier is the WQ value for the source.
- Sum the WQ values for all sources to get the site WQ total.
- 8. Use the site WQ total from step 7 to assign the WC score from PA Table 15.
- 9. Use this WC score for all pathways. \*

<sup>•</sup> The WC score is considered in all four pathways. However, if a primary target is identified for the ground water, surface water, or air migration pathway, assign the determined WC or a score of 32, whichever is greater, as the WC score for that pathway.

### PA TABLE 1: WASTE CHARACTERISTICS (WC) SCORES

### PA Table 1a: WC Scores for Single Source Sites and Formulas for Multiple Source Sites

T		SINGLE	SINGLE SOURCE SITES (assigned WC accres)		
ER	SOURCE TYPE	WC = 18	WC = 32	WC = 100	Formule for Assigning Source WQ Values
U0 2 et 3 mg r	N/A	s100 h	>100 to 10.000 to	> 10,000 to	<b>D</b> + 1
3400 mm au 4	- N/A	≰\$00.000 b	> 600,000 to 60 multion to	>60 million ib	b + 5.000
	Landfill	≤6.75 million ft <sup>3</sup> ≤250.000 ye <sup>3</sup>	>6.75 million to 675 million 11 <sup>5</sup> > 250,000 to 25 million ye <sup>2</sup>	>675 millen ft <sup>d</sup> >25 millen yt <sup>d</sup>	ft + 67.500 yer + 2.500
	Surface impoundment	≤6.750 M² ≤250 ve²	>6.750 to 675.000 ft_ >250 to 25,000 we	>678,000 ft <sup>3</sup> >28,000 ye <sup>4</sup>	no + 67.5 yes + 2.5
	Drums	≤1,000 drams	>1,000 to 100,000 drums	>100.000 dname	drums + 10
0	Tanks and non- drum containers	section 000,082	>50,000 to 5 million gallons	>5 millen gatore	gallons + 500
M	Contaminated soil	≤6.75 milion ft <sup>2</sup> ≤250,000 ve <sup>3</sup>	>4.75 million to 675 million ft <sup>2</sup> ->250.000 to 25 million ye <sup>2</sup>	>675 million ft <sup>3</sup> >25 million ye <sup>3</sup>	nº + 67,500 ye² + 2,500
	Pile	≤8.750 ft <sup>3</sup> ≤250 vc <sup>3</sup>	>6.750 to 675,000 ft <sup>5</sup> >250 to 25,000 <del>ye</del> <sup>2</sup>	>675,000 ft <sup>3</sup> >25,000 ye <sup>4</sup>	ft + 67.5 yet + 2.5
	Other	≤8.780 tt³ ≤280 ve²	>6.750 to 675,000 ft <sup>2</sup> >250 to 25,000 <del>vs<sup>4</sup></del>	>675,000 ft <sup>2</sup> >25,000 ye <sup>2</sup>	11° + 67.5 yd² + 2.5
	Landfill	≤340,000 ft <sup>3</sup> ≤7.8 aeree	>340,000 to 34 million ft <sup>3</sup> >7.8 to 780 cores	>34 millen ft <sup>2</sup> >780 euros	fri + 3,400 acres + 0.078
	Surface impoundment	≤1,300 ft <sup>2</sup> ≤0,029 aeree	>1,300 to 130,000 ft <sup>2</sup> >0.025 to 2.5 cores	> 130,000 ft <sup>3</sup> > 2.9 serse	ft + 13 acres + 0.00029
R	Consumered soil	s3.4 million ft <sup>1</sup> s78 acres	>3.4 million to 340 million ft <sup>1</sup> >78 to 7.800 cores	>340 million ft <sup>2</sup> >7,800 cares	ft <sup>2</sup> + 34,000 acres + 0.78
^	Pile*	≤1,300 ft <sup>4</sup> ≤0.023 cores	>1,300 to 130,000 ft <sup>4</sup> >0.029 to 2.9 cares	>130,000 ft <sup>4</sup> >2.9 seree	ft + 13 ecres + 0.00029
	Land trestment	≤27,000 ft <sup>1</sup> ≤0.62 seree	>27,000 to 2.7 million ft <sup>2</sup> >0.62 to 62 serve	>2.7 million ft <sup>d</sup> >62 acres	n" + 270 screx + 0.0062

<sup>1</sup> ten = 2.000 fb = 1 yel = 4 dname = 200 gellen

PA Table 1b: WC Scores for Multiple Source Sixes

WQ Teas	WC Same
>0 to 100	10
> 100 to 10.000	32
>10,000	100

<sup>·</sup> Line area of least marines writer sale, set surface eres of sale

#### **GROUND WATER PATHWAY**

Ground Water Use Description: Provide information on ground water use in the vicinity. Present the general stratigraphy, aquifers used, and distribution of private and municipal wells.

The site is located in east Jefferson County in what is considered to be the Cahaba Ridge district of the Alabama Valley and Ridge physiographic section. The site has an estimated elevation of 620 feet above mean sea level. The Cahaba Ridge district consists of ridges underlain by gently folded sandstone and conglomerate beds, separated by valleys underlain by shale.

Soils at the site are classified as Palmerdale complex, steep with slopes ranging from 15 to 60 percent. This complex consists of steep, somewhat excessively drained Palmerdale soils and other soils on surface mining spoil piles. Typically, these soils are more than 60 inches thick and are dark gray very shally silt loam.

The available water capacity for Palmerdale soils is low. These soils are not well suited to cultivated crops, pasture, and hay because of steep slopes, fragments on the surface, and the droughty nature of the soils. Present use of these soils is oriented primarily towards reclamation and establishment of trees.

Geologic units that crop out in this part of Jefferson County range in age from Cambrian to Pennsylvanian and are very complex in structure. Rocks in the vicinity of the site consist of the Pottsville Formation and are Pennsylvanian in age.

The Pottsville Formation consists of alternating beds of shale and sandstone with numerous coal seams and associated beds of unerclay. In parts of Jefferson County the Pottsville is over 5,100 feet thick, but in part of the county it is of undetermined thickness due to faulting and folding.

The Pottsville is characterized by steep and rugged valleys and ridges. The massive sandstone units are resistant to weathering and are often topographically higher than the shales that are more susceptible to erosion. The extent of weathering in the Pottsville primarily depends on the lithology of the rock unit. The shale may weather to depths of up to 20 feet and the sandstone to depths of up to 15 feet. The regolith derived from weathering of the shale generally is a silty loam containing shale fragments and has a slow infiltration rate.

Most of the permeability of the sandstone unit is the result of fractures in the bedrock. Some sandstone units of the Pottsville may be permeable, but the shale units are relatively impermeable. Groundwater generally can be obtained by drilling to depths of less than 200 feet, but the Pottsville aquifer generally yields less than 10 gallons per minute to wells.

The major groundwater aquifer in the area is the Pottsville Aquifer. Groundwater in the Pottsville Formation exists in the sandstone and in residual soils and in openings along joints, faults, and bedding planes. Except where fractured, the coal, shale and siltstone are relatively impermeable and usually do not yield significant quantities of water to wells. The water table ranges from 10 to 50 feet below the surface, and quantities of water suitable for domestic needs generally occur at depths of less than 200 feet. Yields to most wells in the area are less than 10 gallons per minute.

The source of recharge to the aquifers in the area is through rainfall. Average annual rainfall in the area is about 53 inches per year. A large part of this rainfall is lost either by direct runoff to streams immediately after a rain or by evapotranspiration to the atmosphere. A relatively small part of the total rainfall infiltrates to the water table to recharge the aquifers.

The permeability for the area is  $1.4 \times 10-3$  to  $4.2 \times 10-3$  and depth to shallowest aquifer is approximately 10 to 50 feet (Attachment C).

### GROUND WATER PATHWAY GROUND WATER USE DESCRIPTION

Describe Ground Water Use Within 4-miles of the Site: (Describe stratigraphy, information on aquifers, municipal and/or private wells)

**Ground Water Targets** 

There are 2 municipal wells within the 4-mile target distance. These two wells are owned by Southern Railway and there use is unknown. These wells lie close to the 4-mile radius to the west. There is also one spring used for public water supply approximately 4 miles east of the site. This spring is used by the City of Leeds and is pumped at a rate of approximately 750,000 gallons per day and serves 12,597 persons (Attachment C). There appear to be few private wells located within a 4 mile radius of the site; however, there is one residence within ¼ mile of the site to the northwest which does utilize groundwater for drinking and serves 6 persons (Attachment D). The remainder of the area is served by surface water from Lake Purdy.

### Calculations for Drinking Water Populations Served by Ground Water:

Population Profile (collected from topographic maps and LandView)

Radii	Households	Residents*
onsite	0	0
0-1/4	5	13
1/4-1/2	38	99
1/2-1	95	247
1-2	1,317	3,424
2-3	904	2,350
3-4	<u>4,000</u>	10,400
total:	6,359	16,533
* 2 6 1	. // 1 110 -	

<sup>\* 2.6</sup> residents/household for Jefferson County (Attachment I)

### **GROUND WATER PATHWAY CRITERIA LIST**

This "Criteria List" helps guide the process of developing hypotheses concerning the occurrence of a suspected release and the exposure of specific targets to a hazardous substance. The check-boxes record your professional judgment in evaluating these factors. Answers to all of the listed questions may not be available during the PA. Also, the list is not all-inclusive; if other criteria help shape your hypotheses, list them at the bottom of the page or attach an additional page.

The "Suspected Release" section identifies several site, source, and pathway conditions that could provide insight as to whether a release from the site is likely to have occurred. If a release is suspected, use the "Primary Targets" section to evaluate conditions that may help identify targets likely to be exposed to a hazardous substance. Record responses for the well that you feel has the highest probability of being exposed to a hazardous substance. You may use this section of the chart more than once, depending on the number of targets you feel may be considered "primary."

Check the boxes to indicate a "yes," "no," or "unknown" answer to each question. If you check the "Suspected Release" box as "yes," make sure you assign a Likelihood of Release value of 550 for the pathway.

GROUND WATER PATHWAY CRITERIA LIST			
SUSPECTED RELEASE	PRIMARY TARGETS		
Y N U o o n s k G   Are sources poorly contained?	Y N U e o n s k G G Is eny drinking water well nearby?		
☑ ☐ Is the source a type likely to contribute to ground water contamination (e.g., wet lagoon)?	Hee any nearby drinking water well been closed?		
□ ☑ □ Is waste quantity particularly large?	Has any nearby drinking water user reported foul-tasting or foul-emelling water?		
☐ ☐ Is precipitation heavy? ☐ ☐ Is the infiltration rate high? ☐ ☐ ☐ Is the site located in an erea of karst terrain?	Does any nearby well have a large drawdown or high production rate?      Is any drinking water well located between the site and other wells that are suspected to be		
☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ Is the subsurface highly permaable or conductive? ☐ ☐ ☐ ☐ Is drinking water drawn from a shallow aquifer?	exposed to a hezardous substance?  Does ensiytical or circumstantial vidence suggest contamination at a drinking water well?		
Are suspected contaminants highly mobile in ground water?	Dose any drinking water well warrant sampling?  Other criteria?		
suggest ground water contensation?  Other criterie?  SUSPECTED RELEASE?	PRIMARY TARGET(S) IDENTIFIED?		
Summarize the rationale for Suspected Release (attach an additional page if necessary):  A release of hazardous materials to groundwater from this site is suspected due to the geology in the area in question being possibly unnaturally karst due to mining activities in the area and that contaminants released from the site are in liquid form and poorly managed. Upgradient monitoring wells are in place at an adjacent facility to the east — assumed to be on the property line. There are no monitoring wells on the Miller's Foundry site.	Summarize the retienale for Primery Tergets (ettach an additional page if necessary):  Ground Water Targets  There are 2 municipal wells within the 4-mile target distance. These two wells are owned by Southern Railway and there use is unknown. These wells lie close to the 4-mile radius to the west. There is also one spring used for public water supply approximately 4 miles east of the site. This spring is used by the City of Leeds and is pumped at a rate of approximately 750,000 gallons per day and serves 12,597 persons (Attachment C). There appear to be few private wells located within a 4 mile radius of the site; however, there is one residence within ½ mile of the site to the northwest which does utilize groundwater for drinking and serves 6 persons (Attachment D). The remainder of the area is served by surface		

water from Lake Purdy.

#### **GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORESHEET**

### **Pathway Characteristics**

Answer the questions at the top of the page. Refer to the Ground Water Pathway Criteria List (page 7) to hypothesize whether you suspect that a hazardous substance associated with the site has been released to ground water. Record depth to aquifer (in feet): the difference between the deepest occurrence of a hazardous substance and the depth of the top of the shallowest aquifer at (or as near as possible) to the site. Note whether the site is in karst terrain (characterized by abrupt ridges, sink holes, caverns, springs, disappearing streams). Record the distance (in feet) from any source to the nearest well used for drinking water.

### Likelihood of Release (LR)

- 1. Suspected Release: Hypothesize based on professional judgment guided by the Ground Water Pathway Criteria List (page 7). If you suspect a release to ground water, use only Column A for this pathway and do not evaluate factor 2.
- 2. No Suspected Release: If you do not suspect a release, determine score based on depth to aquifer or whether the site is in an area of karst terrain. If you do not suspect a release to ground water, use only Column B to score this pathway.

### Targets (T)

This factor category evaluates the threat to populations obtaining drinking water from ground water. To apportion populations served by blended drinking water supply systems, determine the percentage of population served by each well based on its production.

- 3. Primary Target Population: Evaluate populations served by all drinking water wells that you suspect have been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the sits. Use professional judgment guided by the Ground Water Pathway Criteria List (page 7) to make this determination. In the space provided, enter the population served by any wells you suspect have been exposed to a hazardous substance from the sits. If only the number of residences is known, use the average county residents per household (rounded up to the next integer) to determine population served. Multiply the population by 10 to determine the Primary Target Population score. Note that if you do not suspect a release, there can be no primary target population.
- 4. Secondary Target Population: Evaluate populations served by all drinking water wells within 4 miles that you do not suspect have been exposed to a hazardous substance. Use PA Table 2a or 2b (for wells drawing from non-karst and karst aquifers, respectfully) (page 9). If only the number of residences is known, use the average county residents per household (rounded to the nearest integer) to determine population served. Circle the assigned value for the population in each distance category and enter it in the column on the far-right side of the table. Sum the far-right column and enter the total as the Secondary Target Population factor score.
- 5. Nearest Well represents the threat posed to the drinking water well that is most likely to be exposed to a hazardous substance. If you have identified a primary target population, enter 50. Otherwise, assign the score from PA Table 2a or 2b for the closest distance category with a drinking water well population.
- 6. Wellhead Protection Area (WHPA): WHPAs are special areas designated by States for protection under Section 1428 of the Safe Drinking Water Act. Local/State and EPA Regional water officials can provide information regarding the location of WHPAs.
- 7. Resources: A score of 5 can generally be assigned as a default measure. Assign zero only if ground water within 4 miles has no resource use.

Sum the target scores in Column A (Suspected Release) or Column B (No Suspected Release).

### Waste Characteristics (WC)

8. Waste Characteristics: Score is assigned from page 4. However, if you have identified any primary target for ground water, assign either the score calculated on page 4 or a score of 32, whichever is greater.

Ground Water Pathwey Score: Multiply the scores for LR, T, and WC. Divid: the product by 82,500. Round the result to the nearest integer. If the result is greater than 100, assign 100.

### · GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORESHEET

	Positiver Characteristics			
	Do you suspect a release uses Ground Water Patriway Criteria List, page 7)?	Yes	✓ No	•
	is the site located in Maret terrain?	Yes	No	
	Depth to aquater:		<b>7</b> /0 m	
	Distance to the nearest drinking water well:		500 to	
		A	<b>6</b>	
		Juneary	No Surpensed	
LIKELI	HOOD OF RELEASE	Antone	Autono	References
	SPECTED RELEASE: If you suspect a release to ground water (see page 7),	550		•
488	ign a score of 550. Use only column A for this pathway.	220		
	SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you do not suspect a release to ground water, and			
	SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you do not support a forester to ground water, and site is in saist terrain or the depth to aquifer is 70 feet or less, assign a score		l	
une .	TITS IS IN ESTAT TRITION OF THE CORDS IN SQUARE IS NO 1881 OF 1885 OF 1885 OF 1885			
l at a	00; otherwise, assign a score of 340. Use only column 8 for this pathway.		1	
		~ C h		
	ir -	550		
TARGE	TS			
	MARY TARGET POPULATION: Determine the number of people served by			
	tung water wells that you suspect have been exposed to a hazardous			7
Subs	stance from the site (see Ground Water Pethway Critana List, page 7).	(, 0)		1111
		00		HTTD, La
A SEP	ONDARY TARGET POPULATION: Determine the number of people served by		i 1	•
	ung water wells that you do NOT suspect have been exposed to a hazardous		, ,	
Win.	ting water wast trat you on NOT support never count exposed to a Table ?			
3001	stance from the arts, and assign the total population score from PA Table 2.			~
1	Are any wells part of a blended system? Yes No	177	1	1111
	If yes, attach a page to show apportunitient calculations.	/33		##T 1) La
		MANAGE	MARLES	7
	REST WELL: If you have identified a primary target population for ground			
Wate	er, assign a score of 50; otherwise, assign the Nearest Well score from	( C ( )		
PA	Table 2. If no dinnking water wells exist within 4 miles, assign a score of zero.	3.4	1200	
& WEI	LHEAD PROTECTION AREA (WHPAI: If any source lies within or above a WHPA,			
	you have identified any primary target well writhin a WHPA, assign a score of 20;	_ ـر		
	gn 5 if neither condition holds but a WHPA is present within 4 miles; otherwise	7		•
	On zero.			
-554	Au sun.	<u></u>		
7. RES	OURCES .	1 2		
	●	126		
	• •			
****				
WAST	E CHARACTERISTICS	(300.00		
8. 4.	If you have identified any primary target for ground water, easign the waste			
<b> </b> • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	charactanetics score calculated on page 4, or a score of 32, whichever is	7)		•
	GREATER; do not evaluate part 8 of this factor.	22		
	•	1700	1100.00 0 100	
6. 1	f you have NOT identified any primary target for ground water, assign the			
1	waste cherectenatics score calculated on page 4.		1	
		25	ì	
	WC =	( )		
			<del>'                                    </del>	1
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			ì
	18 - 9 - WA		4/ 100k	
GRQUI	NO WATER PATHWAY SCORE: LR x T x WC	-	1	ſ
	82.500	7 ~	7	(
				Į

### PA TABLE 2: VALUES FOR SECONDARY GROUND WATER TARGET POPULATIONS

PA Table 2a: Non-Karst Aquifers


		Hearest			Popu	detion Ser	ved by W	ons With	n Distance	Categor	<u> </u>		
Distance from Site	Population	Well (choose Nighes!)	1 40	= 2 2	31 to 100	101 to	301 to	1,001 to 1,000	1001 to	10,001	30,001	Greater then	Population
non sie	roposition					<del></del>	7,000	1,000	10,000	30,000	100,000	100,000	Value
O to X mile	10	20	<b>(</b> )	2	6	10	52	163	521	1,633	5,214	16,326	
> % to % mile		18	0	1	, 3	10	32	101	323	1,012	3,233	10,121	
> % to 1 mile		•	1	1	` 2	5	17	52	107	622	1,600	5,224	_0_
>1 to 2 miles			1	1	,	,	•	29		294	939	2,930	_0_
> 2 to 3 miles		,	,	1	,	2	,	21	68	212	878	2,122	<u> </u>
>3 to 4 miles	12597	2	,	,	,	,	•	13	42	(131)	417	1,306	131
,	Necrest Well -	20			<del></del>		•		<del></del>			Score -	133

PA Table 2b: Karst Aquifers

<u> </u>	1	Necrest			Рори	letion Sec	ved by W	els With	n Distance	Categor	Υ		
		Well	•	11	31	101	301	1,001	1001	10,001	34,001	Greeter	
Distance		(use 20	*	⊷	•	<b>*</b>	- □	. 🏎	*	•	•	2544	Population
from Site	Population	for kerst!	10	<u></u>	100	300	1.000	2,000	10,000	30,000	100,000	100,000	Value
0 to % mile		20	1	2	5	10	52	163	521	1,633	5,214	16,325	
> K to K mile		20	1	١ ،		10	32	101	323	1,012	3,233	10,121	
> % to 1 mile	<u> </u>	20	1	] ı	•	•	26	82	201	816	2,607	0,162	<del></del>
>1 to 2 miles		20	1	,		•	26	02	261	810	2,607	8,162	<del></del>
> 2 to 3 miles		20	1	•	•	•	20	<b>0</b> 2	201	016	2,607	8,162	<del></del>
> 3 to 4 miles		20	1	<u> !</u>		<u>  •                                     </u>	26	02	261	816	2,607	0,162	
	Noorest Well -			•								Score -	

### SURFACE WATER PATHWAY

Migration Route Sketch: Sketch the surface water migration pathway (freehand is acceptable) illustrating the drainage route and identifying water bodies, probable point of entry, flows, and targets.



## SURFACE WATER PATHWAY MIGRATION ROUTE SKETCH

Suface Water Migration Route Sketch: (include runoff route, probable point of entry, 15-mile target distance limit, intakes, fisheries, and sensitive environments)	
·	
• .	
•	
· •	
·	

### SURFACE WATER PATHWAY CRITERIA LIST

This "Criteria List" helps guide the process of developing hypotheses concerning the occurrence of a suspected release and the exposure of specific targets to a hazardous substance. The check-boxes record your professional judgment in evaluating these factors. Answers to all of the listed questions may not be available during the PA. Also, the list is not all-inclusive; if other criteria help shape your hypotheses, list them at the bottom of the page or attach an additional page.

The "Suspected Release" section identifies several site, source, and pathway conditions that could provide insight as to whether a release from the site is likely to have occurred. If a release is suspected, use the "Primary Targets" section to guide you through evaluation of some conditions that may help identify targets likely to be exposed to a hazardous substance. Record responses for the target that you feel has the highest probability of being exposed to a hazardous substance. You may use this section of the chart more than once, depending on the number of targets you feel may be considered "primary."

Check the boxes to indicate a "yes," "no," or "unknown" answer to each question. If you check the "Suspected Release" box as "yes," make sure you assign a Likelihood of Release value of 550 for the pathway.

If the distance to surface water is greater than 2 miles, do not evaluate the surface water migration pathway. Document the source of information in the text boxes below the surface water criteria list.

SURFACE WATER PAT	THWAY CRITERIA LIST
SUSPECTED RELEASE	PRIMARY TARGETS
YNU Laguen and Laguen and I is surface water nearby? 1/3 mile to Lake	
☐ ☐ Is weste quantity particularly large? ☐ ☐ ☑ Is the drainage area large?	☐ Drinking water intake ☐ Fishery ☐ Sensitive environment
2	Has any intake, fishery, or recreational eres
☑ ☐ Is the infiltration rate low? ☑ ☐ Are sources poorly contained or prone to runoff or flooding?	Does analytical or direumstantial evidence suggest surface water contemplation at or downstream of a target?
☐ ☐ Is a runoff route well defined (e.g., ditch or channel leading to surface water)?	Does any target warrant sampling? If yes:
☑ ☐ Is vegetation stressed along the probable run- off route?	☐ Drinking water intake  ☑ Fishery ☐ Sensitive environment
Are sediments or water unnaturally discolored?	Other criterie?
□ □ Is wildlife unnaturally absent?	- PRIMARY INTAKEISI EDENTIFIED? None
☑ ☐ Has deposition of waste into surface water been observed?	□ □ PRIMARY FISHERY(IES) IDENTIFIED? None
S	DENTIFIED?
Does analytical or croumstantial endence suggest surface water contamination?	·
Cother criteria?	,
SUSPECTED RELEASE?	
Summanze the rationale for Suspected Release (attach an additional page if necessary):	Summanze the retionale for Primary Targets (attach an additional page if necessary):
A release to surface water is suspected as the wastes were deposited as a liquid, and there is a well defined pathway leading to perennial surface water.	

### SURFACE WATER PATHWAY LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE AND DRINKING WATER THREAT SCORESHEET

### Pathway Characteristics

The surface water pathway includes three threats: Drinking Water Threat, Human Food Chain Threat, and Environmental Threat. Answer the questions at the top of the page. Refer to the Surface Water Pathway Criteria List (page 11) to hypothesize whether you suspect that a hazardous substance associated with the site has been released to surface water. Record the distance to surface water (the shortest overland drainage distance from a source to a surface water body). Record the flood frequency at the site (e.g., 100-yr, 200-yr). If the site is located in more than one floodplain, use the most frequent flooding event. Identify surface water use(s) along the surface water migration path and their distance(s) from the site.

### Likelihood of Release (LR)

- 1. Suspected Release: Hypothesize based on professional judgment guided by the Surface Water Pathway Criteria List (page 11). If you suspect a release to surface water, use only Column A for this pathway and do not evaluate factor 2.
- 2. No Suspected Release: If you do not suspect a release, determine score based on the shortest overland drainage distance from a source to a surface water body. If distance to surface water is 2,500 feet or less, assign a score of 500. If distance to surface water is greater than 2,500 feet, determine score based on flood frequency. If you do not suspect a release to surface water, use only Column B to score this pathway.

### **Drinking Water Threat Targets (T)**

- 3. List all drinking water intakes on downstream surface water bodies along the surface water migration path. Record the intake name, the type of water body on which the intake is located, the flow of the water body, and the number of people served by the intake (apportion the population if part of a blended system).
- 4. Primary Target Population: Evaluate populations served by all drinking water intakes that you suspect have been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site. Use professional judgment guided by the Surface Water Pathway Criteria List (page 11) to make this determination. In the space provided, enter the population served by all intakes you suspect have been exposed to a hazardous substance from the site. If only the number of residences is known, use the average county residents per household (rounded up to the next integer) to determine population served. Multiply by 10 to determine the Primary Target Population score. Remember, if you do not suspect a release, there can be no primary target population.
- 5. Secondary Target Population: Evaluate populations served by all drinking water intakes within the target distance limit that you do not suspect have been exposed to a hazardous substance. Use PA Table 3 (page 13) and enter the population served by intakes for each flow category. If only the number of residences is known, use the average county residents per household (rounded to the nearest intager) to determine population served. Circle the assigned value for the population in each flow category and enter it in the column on the far-right side of the table. Sum the far-right column and enter the total as the Secondary Target Population factor score.

Gauging station data for many surface water bodies are available from USGS or other sources. In the absence of gauging station data, estimate flow using the list of surface water body types and associated flow categories in PA Table 4 (page 13). The flow for takes is determined by the sum of flows of streams entering or leaving the take. Note that the flow category "mixing zone of quiet flowing rivers" is limited to 3 miles from the probable point of entry.

- 6. Nearest Intake represents the threat posed to the drinking water intake that is most likely to be exposed to a hazardous substance. If you have identified a primary target population, enter 50. Otherwise, assign the score from PA Table 3 (page 13) for the lowest-flowing water body on which there is an intake.
- 7. Resources: A score of 5 can generally be assigned as a default measure. Assign zero only if surface water within the target distance limit has no resource use.

Sum the target scores in Column A (Suspected Release) or Column B (No Suspected Release).

### SURFACE WATER PATHWAY LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE AND DRINKING WATER THREAT SCORESHEET

Distance to surface water: Flood frequency: What is the downstream distance to the nearest drinking water intake?  Nearest fishery? // miles Nearest sensitive environment? < miles		77.65 T	
	A	8	1
LIKELIHOOD OF RELEASE	Suspensed Release	No Supposed Release	Autore
SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you suspect a release to surface water (see page 11), assign a score of 550. Use only column A for this pathway.      NO SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you do not suspect a release to surface.	550		
water, use the table below to assign a score based on distance to surface water and flood frequency. Use only column 8 for this pathway.			
Distance to surface water ≤ 2.500 feet 500			}
Distance to surface water > 2.500 feet, and Site in annual or 10-year floodplain 600			}
Site in 100-year troodplain 446		E .	İ
Site in 100-year moodplain 200			ł
Site ourside 500-year floodplain 100			1
			\
- LR -	55n		
DDINKING WATER TURKET TARRETS			
DRINKING WATER THREAT TARGETS			ľ
<ol> <li>Record the water body type, flow (if applicable), and number of people served by each dinning water intake within the target distance limit. If there is no drinting water intake within the target distance limit, factors 4, 5, and 6 each receive zero scores.</li> </ol>			
Strate Home Water Best Type Rose Pages Servel			
cts			
cts			
cts			
4. PRIMARY TARGET POPULATION: If you suspect any onniung water intake tisted	i		
above has been exposed to a hazardous substance from the arte (see Surface Water Petnway Criteria List, page 11), ligt the intake name(s) and calculate the factor	1		
Pathway Criteria List, page 11), list the intake name(s) and calculate the factor score based on the total population served.	1		
	1		
people x 10 =	.]		
5. SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION: Determine the number of people served by	1	•	<b>[</b>
drinking water intelles that you do NOT suspect have been exposed to a hezardous substance from the area, and assign the total population accre from PA Table 3.	1 .	-1	ŀ
averance non all site assign the was population store from PA 1898 J.	1	1	1
Are any intakes part of a blended system? Yes No	1	1 7	}
If yes, attach a page to show apportunment calculations.	<u>L</u>	U	
	MARLES	UM PALICO	
A SIFE SPORT MIRROR. Management of the second secon		-	1
6. NEAREST INTAKE: If you have identified a primary target population for the	}		1
drinking water threat (factor 4), assign a acore of 50; otherwise, assign the			
drinking water threat (factor 4), assign a score of 50; otherwise, assign the Nearest intake score from PA Table 3. If no drinking water intake exists within		0	
drinking water threat (factor 4), assign a acore of 50; otherwise, assign the		0	
drinking water threat (factor 4), assign a score of 50; otherwise, assign the Nearest intake score from PA Table 3. If no drinking water intake exists within	5.4	0	
drinking water threat (factor 4), assign a accre of 50; otherwise, assign the Nearest intake score from PA Table 3. If no drinking water intake source within the target distance limit, assign a score of zero.	Ace	5	

PA TABLE 3: VALUES FOR SECONDARY SURFACE WATER TARGET POPULATIONS

		Nearest			٨	opulation	Served by	Inteles !	Milhin Flo	w Catego	γ			
Surface Water Body Flow (100 PA Table 4)	Population	intako (chooso highest)	- 2 2	31 to 100	101 to 300	301 to 2,000	1,001 to 2,000	10 000 PO1	10,001 to 30,000	30,001 to 100,000	100,001 to 300,000	300,001 to 1,000,000	Greater Man 1,000,000	Population Value
< 10 afe		20	2	6	16	52	143	621	1,633	5,214	16,325	52,136		
10 to 100 afo		2	1	1	2	6	10	62	163	621	1,433	5,214	16,325	
> 100 to 1,000 ate		1	0	0	١,	1	2	•	14	62	143	521	1,633	<del>`</del>
> 1,000 to 10,000 eta		0	Ö	0	0 `		,	١,	2	6	10	52	163	
> 10,000 ofe or Great Lakes		•	0	0	0	٥	0	°	١	1	2	5	10	<del></del>
3-mile Mixing Zone		10	1	3	•	20	82	261	816	2,607	0,162	26,068	01,663	
Neara	st Intako -											. •	Score -	

PA TABLE 4: SURFACE WATER TYPE / FLOW CHARACTERISTICS WITH DILUTION WEIGHTS FOR SECONDARY SURFACE WATER SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS

Type of S	Type of Surface Water Body							
Water Body Type	OR	Flow	MelgMI					
minimal stream		< 10 ofa	7					
email to moderate atteam		10 to 100 efe	0.1					
moderate to large stream	>	100 to 1,000 efe	NA					
large stream to river	· > 1	1,000 to 10,000 cfs	NA					
large river		> 10,000 ate	N/A					
3-mile mixing zone of								
quiet flowing streams or rivers		10 als or greater	N/A					
goastal tidal water (herbors,								
sounds, bays, etc.), ocean,		N/A	N/A					
or Great Lakes								

### SURFACE WATER PATHWAY HUMAN FOOD CHAIN THREAT SCORESHEET

### Likelihood of Release (LR)

LR is the same for all surface water pathway threats. Enter LR score from page 12.

### Human Food Chain Threat Targets (T)

8. The only human food chain targets are fisheries. A <u>fishery</u> is an area of a surface water body from which food chain organisms are taken or could be taken for human consumption on a subsistence, sporting, or commercial basis. Food chain organisms include fish, shellfish, crustaceans, amphibians, and amphibious reptiles. Fisheries are delineated by changes in surface water body type (i.e., streams and rivers, lakes, coastal tidal waters, and oceans/Great Lakes) and whenever the flow characteristics of a stream or river change.

In the space provided, identify all fisheries within the target distance limit. Indicate the surface water body type and flow for each fishery. Gauging station flow data are available for many surface water bodies from USGS or other sources. In the absence of gauging station data, estimate flow using the list of surface water body types and associated flow categories in PA Table 4 (page 13). The flow for lakes is determined by the sum of flows of streams entering or leaving the take. Note that, if there are no fisheries within the target distance limit, the Human Food Chain Threat Targets score is zero.

- 9. Primary fisheries are any fisheries within the target distance limit that you suspect have been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site. Use professional judgment guided by the Surface Water Pathway Criteria List (page 11) to make this determination. If you identify any primary fisheries, list them in the space provided, enter 300 as the Primary Fisheries factor score, and do not evaluate Secondary Fisheries. Note that if you do not suspect a release, there can be no primary fisheries.
- 10. Secondary fisheries are fisheries that you do not suspect have been exposed to a hazardous substance. Evaluate this factor only if fisheries are present within the target distance limit, but none is considered a primary fishery.
- A. If you suspect a release to surface water and have identified a secondary fishery but no primary fishery, assign a score of 210.
- B. If you do not suspect a release, evaluate this factor based on flow. In the absence of gauging station flow data, estimate flow using the list of surface water body types and associated flow categories in PA Table 4 (page 13). Assign a Secondary Fisheries score from the table on the scoresheet using the lowest flow at any fishery within the target distance limit. (Dilution weight multiplier does not apply to PA evaluation of this factor.)

Sum the target scores in Column A (Suspected Release) or Column B (No Suspected Release).

### SURFACE WATER PATHWAY (continued) HUMAN FOOD CHAIN THREAT SCORESHEET

TKETHOOD	OF RELEASE			Adhes	Actions	Reference
nter Surface Wi	eter Likelihood of Release scor	e from page 12.	LR -	550		
UMAN FOO	D CHAIN THREAT TARGE	TS				
the target di	water body type and flow (if a stance limit. If there is no fish it. assign a Targets score of 0		ı			
Flatery Name	•	West Bapy Type Row	<del></del>			
Lake		Lake <10	cts			
	med steam		cris			
Cohe			cris			
	R. DET					
<del></del>			c#s			11111
			_cts			AHD R.
	e · beorge	Factor 10. List the primary fish	J.	300		
SECONDARY	Y FISHERIES			274a		
•		nd have identified a secondary f	Shery			
BUT NO DISMA	ry fishery, assign a score of 2	10.				
. If you do not	suspect a relesse, assign a Si	scondary Fishenes score from th	e table		F-420	
below using	the lowest flow at any fishery	within the target distance limit.				
	Lawrent Ager	Security Palester Lives	<del></del>			
•	< 10 cts	210	_			
	10 to 100 cfs	30				
	> 100 cts. coastal					
	tidal waters, oceans,	12	]			
	or Great Lakes		j			
			_	0.05	una.	1
			T =	20 D	<u> </u>	

### SURFACE WATER PATHWAY ENVIRONMENTAL THREAT SCORESHEET

### Likelihood of Release (LR)

LR is the same for all surface water pathway threats. Enter LR score from page 12.

### Environmental Threat Targets (T)

- 11. PA Table 5 (page 16) lists sensitive environments for the Surface Water Pathway Environmental Threat. In the space provided, identify all sensitive environments located within the target distance limit. Indicate the surface water body type and flow at each sensitive environment. Gauging station flow data for many surface water bodies are available from USGS or other sources. In the absence of gauging station data, estimate flow using the list of surface water body types and associated flow categories in PA Table 4 (page 13). The flow for lakes is determined by the sum of flows of streams entering or leaving the lake. Note that if there are no sensitive environments within the target distance limit, the Environmental Threat Targets score is zero.
- 12. Primary sensitive environments are surface water sensitive environments within the target distance limit that you suspect have been exposed to a hazardous substance released from the site. Use professional judgment guided by the Surface Water Pathway Criteria List (page 11) to make this determination. If you identify any primary sensitive environments, list them in the space provided, enter 300 as the Primary Sensitive Environments factor score, and do not evaluate Secondary Sensitive Environments. Note that if you do not suspect a release, there can be no primary sensitive environments.
- 13. Secondary sensitive environments are surface water sensitive environments that you do not suspect have been exposed to a hazardous substance. Evaluate this factor only if surface water sensitive environments are present within the target distance limit, but none is considered a primary sensitive environment. Evaluate secondary sensitive environments based on flow.
  - In the table provided, list all secondary sensitive environments on surface water bodies with flow of 100 cfs or less.
    - 1) Use PA Table 4 (page 13) to determine the appropriate dilution weight for each.
    - 2) Use PA Tables 5 and 6 (page 16) to determine the appropriate value for each sensitive environment type and for wetlands frontage.
    - 3) For a sensitive environment that falls into more than one of the categories in PA Table 5, sum the values for each type to determine the environment value (e.g., a wetland with 1.5 miles frontage (value of 50) that is also a critical habitat for a Federally designated endangered species (value of 100) would receive a total value of 150).
    - 4) For each sensitive environment, multiply the dilution weight by the environment type (or length of wetlands) value and record the product in the far-right column.
    - 5) Sum the values in the far-right column and enter the total as the Secondary Sensitive Environments score. Do not evaluate part 8 of this factor.
  - If all secondary sensitive environments are on surface water bodies with flows greater than 100 cfs assign 10 as the Secondary Sensitive Environments score.

Sum the target scores in Column A (Suspected Release) or Column B (No Suspected Release).

### SURFACE WATER PATHWAY (communed) ENVIRONMENTAL THREAT SCORESHEET

		A	B
LIKELIHOOD O	FRELEASE	Action	No Suppose
	er Likelihood of Release score from page 12.	550	
		7 2 0	
ENVIRONMENT	AL THREAT TARGETS		
	iter body type and flow (if applicable) for each surface water rowners within the target distance limit (see PA Tables 4		
•	to is no sensitive environment within the target distance		
limit, assign a	Targets score of 0 at the bottom of the page.		
Economical A			
	ctsctscts		
	cis		
السيسا	cts		
	ISITIVE ENVIRONMENTS: If you autopost any summive environ-		
	ove has been exposed to a hazardous substance from the site (see *Critene List, page 11), assign a score of 300 and do not eviguists	1	
	t the primary sensitive environments:	Į.	
·			
		<b></b>	
	SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS: If sensitive environments are		
	one is a primary sansitive environment, evaluate Secondary ronments based on flow.		
A. For second	ary sensiove environments on surface water bodies with flows of		
1	less, assign scores as follows, and do not evaluate part 8 of		
this factor:			
Ans	Otherine Weight Environment Type and Value (PA Table 4) (PA Table 6 and 4) (Table		
	ets 1 x column Shipec - 75	1	
sered 510	cts sorthern Compelet = 75		
* 4 <del>- 5/0</del>	ets 1 x Wand (ambshell = 75		
1/	ots 1 Trianing Kingreys 75		
210	1 Loosa Morrasinshell Toma		1000
B. If all secon	dary senerove environments are located on surface water bodies	198	, ,
	> 100 cts. assign a score of 10.		1
Y 210, F3	1 × 6111 - D. ten = 75	1	(e 1) 1)
ened 3 21075	1 x Goldling Driter = 75.	•{	<u> </u>

### PA TABLE 5: SURFACE WATER AND AIR PATHWAY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS VALUES

Sensitive Environment	Assigned Value
Critical habitat for Federally designated endangered or threatened species	100
Menne Senttuery	
Netional Park	
Designated Federal Wilderness Area	
Ecologically important areas identified under the Coastal Zone Wilderness Act	
Sensitive Areas identified uniter the National Estuary Program or Near Ceasual Water Program of the Clean Wa	ter Act
Critical Areas Identified under the Clean Laket Program of the Clean Water Ast (aubarees in lakes or entire am	ali lakee)
National Monument (air pathway only)	
National Seashore Regression Area	
National Lakashore Recreation Area	
Habitat Enoun to be used by Federally designated or proposed ordinappred or threatened openies	75
National Processo	
National or State Wildlife Refuge	
Unit of Chartal Barrier Resources System	
Federal land designated for the protection of notized consystems	
Administratively Proposed Federal Wilderness Area	
Spawring areas emissi for the maintanence of fish/shellfish species within a river system. Day, or estuary	
Migratory pothways and feeding grees embed for the memorenee of enagrameus fish species in a river system	n
Torresund ereas utilized for breaking by large or dense aggregations of vertabrets arunds (air pathway) or	
semi-equate foregors (surface water pathway)	
Nettonal river reach designated as Recrestional	
Matrice tyrevin to be used by State designated entengured or threatened opened	50
Habitet known to be used by a species under rowew as to its Federal endangered or threatened status	
Coastal Barrer (pertally developed)	
Federally designated Seenie or Wild River	
State lend designated for wildlife or game management	25
State designated Seems or Wild River	
State designated. Natural Area	
Persoular areas, relatively small in size, important to maintenense of unique blode communidae	المستوني بيوبيون المستوا
State designated areas for protection/maintenance of adultid life under the Clean Water Act	
	uriose Water Pathways
Wetlands	
PA Table 9	(Air Pethwey)

### PA TABLE 6: SURFACE WATER PATHWAY WETLANDS FRONTAGE VALUES

Total Langth of Wetlands	Assigned Value
Lose than 0.1 mile	0
0.1 to 1 main	25
Greater than 1 to 2 miles	50
Greener than 2 to 3 miles	75
Greater than 3 to 4 miles	100
Greater than 4 to 8 miles	150
Greater then 8 to 12 miles	250
Greater than 12 to 16 miles	350
Greater than 16 to 20 miles	450
Greatur than 20 miles	500

### SURFACE WATER PATHWAY WASTE CHARACTERISTICS, THREAT, AND PATHWAY SCORES

### Waste Characteristics (WC)

14. Waste Characteristics: Score is assigned from page 4. However, if a primary target has been identified for any surface water threat, assign either the score calculated on page 4 or a score of 32, whichever is greater.

### Surface Water Pathway Threat Scores

Fill in the matrix with the appropriate scores from the previous pages. To calculate the score for each threat: multiply the scores for LR, T, and WC; divide the product by 82.500; and round the result to the nearest integer. The Drinking Water Threat and Human Food Chain Threat are each subject to a maximum of 100. The Environmental Threat is subject to a maximum of 60. Enter the rounded threat scores in the far-right column.

### Surface Water Pathway Score

Sum the individual threat scores to determine the Surface Water Pathway Score. If the sum is greater than 100, assign 100.

### SURFACE WATER PATHWAY (concluded) WASTE CHARACTERISTICS, THREAT, AND PATHWAY SCORE SUMMARY

	A	
	Superior	No Supermo
WASTE CHARACTERISTICS	Raisess	Retrese
•	1100-200	
14. A. If you have identified any primary target for surface water (pages 12, 14,	l	
or 15), assign the waste characteristics score calculated on page 4, or a score	1 > \	
of 32, whichever is GREATER; do not evaluate part 8 of this factor.	122	
	[140.40. o 44	1100.00
B. If you have NOT identified any primary target for surface water, assign the	1	
weste characteristics score calculated on page 4.	i	1
	7	1
WC -	152	<u> </u>

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY THREAT SCORES

Threat	Libelland of Release (LR) Sears (from page 12)	Tarpets (T) Senre (paper 12, 14, 15)	Potheroy Waste Characteristics (WCI Score - Identificate above)	Threat deare  UR = T = WC  / 82,500
Drinking Water	550	5	37	1, \
Human Food Chain	550	300	32	64
Environmental	550	600	32	(0)

SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORE
(Drinking Water Threat + Human Food Chain Threat + Environmental Threat)

### SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY CRITERIA LIST

Areas of surficial contamination can generally be assumed. This "Criteria List" helps guide the process of developing a hypothesis concerning the exposure of specific targets to a hazardous substance at the site. Use the "Resident Population" section to evaluate site and source conditions that may help identify targets likely to be exposed to a hazardous substance. The check-boxes record your professional judgment. Answers to all of the listed questions may not be available during the PA. Also, the list is not all-inclusive; if other criteria help shape your hypothesis, list them at the bottom of the page or attach an additional page.

Check the boxes to indicate a "yes," "no," or "unknown" answer to each question.

SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY CRITERIA LIST						
SUSPECTED CONTAMINATION	RESIDENT POPULATION					
	Y N U e o n s k ls any residence, school, or daycare facility on or within 200 feet of an area of suspected contamination?					
Surficial contamination can generally be assumed.	□ □ Is any residence, school, or daycare facility located on adjacent lend previously owned or leased by the site owner/operator?					
	□ □ ☑ Is there a migration routs that might spread hazardous substances near residences, schools, or daycare facilities?					
	☐ ☐ ☐ Have oneits or adjacent residents or students reported adverse health effects, exclusive of apparent drinking water or air contamination problems?					
	☑ □ Does any neighboring property warrant —sampling?					
	Other criteria?					
	RESIDENT POPULATION IDENTIFIED? NO MP					
Summenze the rationale for Resident Population (attach en a	additional page if necessary):					
	•					
: :						
•						
•	•					
•						
	•					
•	•					

#### SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORESHEET

### **Pathway Characteristics**

Answer the questions at the top of the page. Identify people who may be exposed to a hazardous substance because they work at the facility, or reside or attend school or daycare on or within 200 feet of an area of suspected contamination. If the site is active, estimate the number of full and part-time workers. Note that evaluation of targets is based on current site conditions.

### Likelihood of Exposure (LE)

1. Suspected Contamination: Areas of surficial contamination are present at most sites, and a score of 550 can generally be assigned as a default measure. Assign zero, which effectively eliminates the pathway from further consideration, only if there is no surficial contamination; reliable analytical data are generally necessary to make this determination.

### Resident Population Threat Targets (T)

- 2. Resident Population corresponds to "primary targets" for the migration pathways. Use professional judgment guided by the Soil Exposure Pathway Criteria List (page 18) to determine if there are people living or attending school or daycare on or within 200 feet of areas of suspected contamination. Record the number of people identified as resident population and multiply by 10 to determine the Resident Population factor score.
- 3. Resident Individual: Assign 50 if you have identified a resident population; otherwise, assign zero.
- 4. Workers: Estimate the number of full and part-time workers at this facility and adjacent facilities where contamination is also suspected. Assign a score for the Workers factor from the table.
- 5. Terrestrial Sensitive Environments: In the table provided, list each terrestrial sensitive environment located on an area of suspected contamination. Use PA Table 7 (page 20) to assign a value for each. Sum the values and assign the total as the factor score.
- 6. Resources: A score of 5 can generally be assigned as a default measure. Assign zero only if there is no land resource use on an area of suspected contamination.

Sum the target scores.

### Weste Characteristics (WC)

7. Enter the WC score determined on page 4.

Resident Population Threat Score: Multiply the scores for LE, T, and WC. Divide the product by 82,500. Round the result to the nearest integer. If the result is greater than 100, assign 100.

<u>Nearby Population Threat Score:</u> Do not evaluate this threat if you gave a zero score to Likelihood of Exposure. Otherwise, assign a score based on the population within a 1-mile radius (use the same 1-mile radius population you evaluate for air pathway population targets):

Population Within One Mile	Nearby Population Threat Score
< 10,000	1
10,000 to 50,000	2
>50.000	4

<u>Soil Exposure Pathway Score:</u> Sum the Resident Population Threat score and the Nearby Population Threat score, subject to a maximum of 100.

### SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORESHEET

Do any people live on or within 200 ft of areas of suspected contamination?  Do any people attend school or daycare on op within 200 ft of areas	Yes	No 🗸	1
of suspected contamination? Is the facility active? Yes No If yes, estimate the number of workers:	Yes	No <u>√</u>	
LIKELIHOOD OF EXPOSURE	Superior Continues of		Carrer
SUSPECTED CONTAMINATION: Surficial contamination can generally be assumed, and a score of 550 assigned. Assign zero only if the absence of surficial contamination can be confidently demonstrated.  LE =	550		
RESIDENT POPULATION THREAT TARGETS		_	
2. RESIDENT POPULATION: Determine the number of people occupying residences or attending school or daycare on or writen 200 feet of areas of suspected contamination (see Soil Exposure Pathway Criteria List. page 18).	0		
3. RESIDENT INDIVIDUAL: If you have identified a resident population (factor 2), assign a score of 50; otherwise, assign a score of 0.	0	j	
WORKERS: Use the following table to essign a score based on the total number of workers at the facility and nearby facilities with suspected contamination:	116 16 2 4 6		
0 0 0 1 to 100 5 101 to 1,000 10 15	5		-
5. TERRESTRIAL SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS: Use PA Table 7 to assign a value for each terrestrial sensitive environment on an area of suspected contamination:    Terrestrial Sensitive Environment Type   Value			
	0		
6. RESOURCES		<u> </u>	
T =			
7. Assign the weste characteristics score calculated on page 4. WC =	32		
RESIDENT POPULATION THREAT SCORE: LE X T X WC 82.500.	2.1	].	
NEARBY POPULATION THREAT SCORE:	M. S. w. W.	]	<del></del>
SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORE: Resident Population Threat + Nearby Population Threat	3.		

### PA TABLE 7: SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY TERRESTRIAL SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENT VALUES

Torrestrial Sensitive Environment	Assigned Value
Terrestrial critical habitat for Federally designated endangered or threatened species	100
National Park	
Designated Federal Wilderness Area	
National Monument	
Terrestrial habitat known to be used by Federally designated or proposed threatened or endangered species	75
National Preserve (terrestral)	
National or State terrestrial Wildlife Refuge	
Federal land designated for protection of natural ecosystems	
Administratively proposed Federal Wilderness Area	
Terrestrial areas unlized by large or dense aggregations of animals (vertebrate species) for breeding	
Terrestrial habitat used by State designated endangered or threatened species	50
Terrestrial habitat used by species under review for Federal designated endangered or threatened status	
State lands designated for wildlife or game management	25
State designated Natural Areas	
Particular areas, reletively small in size, important to maintenance of unique biotic communities	

### AIR PATHWAY CRITERIA LIST

This "Criteria List" helps guide the process of developing a hypothesis as to whether a release to the air is likely to be detected. The check-boxes record your professional judgment. Answers to all of the listed questions may not be available during the PA. Also, the list is not all-inclusive; if other criteria help shape your hypothesis, list them at the bottom of the page or attach an additional page.

The "Suspected Release" section identifies several conditions that could provide insight as to whether a release from the site is likely to be detected. If a release is suspected, primary targets are any residents, workers, students, and sensitive environments on or within % mile of the site.

Check the boxes to indicate a "yes," "no," or "unknown" answer to each question. If you check the "Suspected Release" box as "yes," make sure you assign a Likelihood of Release value of 550 for the pathway.

AIR PATHWAY	CRITERIA LIST
SUSPECTED RELEASE	PRIMARY TARGETS
Y N U e o n s k G G Are odors currently reported? G G Hee release of a hazardous substance to the air	
been directly observed?  Are there reports of adverse health effects (e.g., headaches, nausea, dizzness) potentially resulting from migration of hazardous substances through the air?	If you suspect a release to air, evaluate all populations and sensitive environments within 1/4 mile (including those onsite) as primary targets.
Does enalytical or circumstantial evidence suggest a release to the air?	
Other criterie?	
Summanze the retionale for Suspected Release (attach an add	
Odors reported.	

#### AIR PATHWAY SCORESHEET

#### **Pathway Characteristics**

Answer the questions at the top of the page. Refer to the Air Pathway Criteris List (page 21) to hypothesize whether you suspect that a hezerdous substance release to the air could be detected. Due to dispersion, releases to air are not as persistent as releases to water migration pathways and are much more difficult to detect. Develop your hypothesis concerning the release of hezerdous substances to air based on "real time" considerations. Record the distance (in feet) from any source to the nearest regularly occupied building.

### LikePhood of Release (LR)

- 1. Suspected Release: Hypothesize based on professional judgment guided by the Air Pathway Criteria List (page 21). If you suspect a release to air, use only Column A for this pathway and do not evaluate factor 2.
- 2. No Suspected Release: If you do not suspect a release, enter 500 and use only Column 8 for this pathway.

### Invests (T)

- 3. Primary Target Population: Evaluate populations subject to exposure from release of a hazardous substance from the site. If you suspect a release, the resident, student, and worker populations on and within ¼ mile of the are considered primary target population. If only the number of residences is known, use the everage county residents per household (rounded up to the next integer) to determine the population. In the space provided, enter this population. Multiply the population by 10 to determine the Primary Target Population score. Note that if you do not suspect a release, there can be no primary target population.
- 4. Secondary Target Population: Evaluate populations in distance categories not suspected to be subject to exposure from release of a hazardous substance from the site. If you suspect a release, residents, students, and workers in the X+ to 4-mile distance categories are secondary target population. If you do not suspect a release, all residents, students, and workers onsite and within 4 miles are considered secondary target population.

Use PA Table 8 (page 23). Enter the population in each secondary target population distance category, circle the assigned value, and record it on the far-right side of the table. Sum the far-right column and enter the total as the Secondary Target Population factor score.

- 5. Necrest individual represents the threat posed to the person most likely to be exposed to a hazardous substance release from the site. If you have identified a primary target population, enter 50. Otherwise, assign the score from PA Table 8 (page 23) for the closest distance category in which you have identified a secondary target population.
- 6. Primary Sensitive Environments: If a release is suspected, all sensitive environments on or within ¼ mile of the site are considered primary targets. List them and assign values for sensitive environment type (from PA Table 5, page 16) and/or wetland acreage (from PA Table 9, page 23). Sum the values and enter the total as the factor acore.
- 7. Secondary Sensitive Environments: If a release is suspected, sensitive environments in the %- to %-mile distance category are secondary targets; greater distances need not be evaluated because distance weighting greatly diminishes the impact on site score. If you do not suspect a release, all sensitive environments on and within % mile of the site are considered secondary targets. List each secondary sensitive environment on PA Table 10 (page 23) and assign a value to each using PA Tables 5 and 9. Multiply each value by the indicated distance weight and record the product in the farright column. Sum the products and enter the total as the factor score,
- 8. Resources: A score of 5 can generally be essigned as a default measure. Assign zero only if there is no land resource use within ½ mile.

Sum the target accres in Column A (Suspected Release) or Column 8 (No Suspected Release).

### Weste Cherecteristics (WC)

- 9. Waste Characteristics: Score is assigned from page 4. However, if you have identified any primary target for the air pathway, assign either the score calculated on page 4 or a score of 32, whichever is greater.
- At Pethway Score: Multiply the scores for LR, T, and WC. Divide the product by 82.500. Round the result to the nearest integer. If the result is greater than 100, assign 100,

#### AIR DATHWAY SCORESHEET

Political Characteristics				
Do you suspect a release (see Air Patriway Criteria List, page 21)? Distance to the nearest individual:		Yes	- No	
		Superior	No Supposed	
JKELIHOOD OF RELEASE		Reteres	Release	References
SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you suspect a release to air (see page 21), assign a score of 550. Use only column A for this pathway.				
NO SUSPECTED RELEASE: If you do not suspect a release to air, assign a score of 500. Use only column 8 for this pathway.				
	LR -			
ARGETS				1
TO exposure from a suspected release of hazardous substances to the ser.  13 residents + 35 workers	10 =	480		AH D, I; l
SECONDARY TARGET POPULATION: Determine the number of people not suspected to be exposed to a release to air, and assign the total population score using PA Table 8.		9	(B.J.L. o 6	AHDI: L.
NEAREST INDIVIDUAL: If you have identified any Primary Target Population for the air patriway, assign a score of 50; otherwise, assign the Nearest Individual score from PA Table 8.		50		AHD
PRIMARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS: Sum the sensitive environment values (PA Table 5) and wetland acreage values (PA Table 9) for environments subject to exposure from a suspected release to the air.	•			
Senatho Smirosnest Type Value				
		()		
SECONDARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS: Use PA Table 10 to determine the score for secondary sensitive environments.		0		
RESQUECES		400	***	
neadonnes.		7		
	T -	544		
ASTE CHARACTERISTICS				
A. If you have dentified any Primary Tarner for the air patterny, scenar the unit	ite	(1400 er 480		
characteristics acore calculated on page 4, or a score of 32, whichever is GREATER: do not avaluate part 8 of this factor.	- <del></del>	32	140,3,040	
8. If you have NCT identified any Primary Target for the air pathway, assign the waste characteristics score calculated on page 4.	•	1100.00.00 40	1-00-20, 0 -00	
	wc -	32	·	
	1		•	
IR PATHWAY SCORE: LR x T x W	2			
82.500	-	100	2	(

PA TABLE 8: VALUES FOR SECONDARY AIR TARGET POPULATIONS

		Mearest		Population Within Distance Category											
		Individual	•	"	31	101	301	1,001	1001	10.001	30,001	100,001	300,001	Greeter	
Distance	00-0	<i>fchoese</i>	*	•	<b>80</b>	•	*	₩	₩	~	•	<b>60</b>	~	ah es	Population
from Site	Population	Makesti		<u> </u>	100	200	2,000	3000	10,000	2000	100,000	300,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	Vake
Oneite		20	. 1	2	•	16	52	183	521	1,023	5,214	16,326	62,136	103,246	_0_
>0 to 14 mile	13	20	1	0	1	4	13	41	130	408	1,303	4,081	13,034	40,011	1
> K to H mile	99	2	0	0	<b>①</b>	•		•	20	••	202	492	2,016	0,015	
> % to 1 mile	247	1	•	•	0	$\bigcirc$	1	3	•	26	83	201	834	2,612	
>1 to 2 miles	3,424	0	•	0	0	0	1	1	<b>③</b>	•	27	83	266	833	_3
>2 to 3 miles	2,350	0	0	o	0	P	1	<b>①</b>	1	4	12	38	120	376	1.
>3 to 4 miles	10,400	0	0	0	0	0	0		,	(2)	,	23	72	220	<u> </u>
Nearest Individual - 20 Score -								9							

### PA TABLE 9: AIR PATHWAY VALUES FOR WETLAND AREA

Wetland Area	Assigned Value
Loos than I sore	0
1 to 50 sores	26
Greeter then 50 to 100 scree	76
Greater than 100 to 150 acres	125
Greater than 150 to 200 scree	176
Greeter then 200 to 300 acres	250
Greater than 300 to 400 scree	360
Greeter than 400 to 500 acree	450
Greeter then 500 acres	500

### PA TABLE 10: DISTANCE WEIGHTS AND CALCULATIONS FOR AIR PATHWAY SECONDARY SENSITIVE ENVIRONMENTS

Distance	Distance Weight	Southire Emironment Type and Value  from PA Table 6 or 91	Product
Oneite	0.10		
		N	
0-1/4 ml 0.025			
1/4-1/2m	0.0054		
	Į i		

### SITE SCORE CALCULATION

In the column labeled S, record the Ground Water Pathway score, the Surface Water Pathway score, the Soil Exposure Pathway score, and the Air Pathway score. Square each pathway score and record the result in the S<sup>2</sup> column. Sum the squared pathway scores. Divide the sum by 4, and take the square root of the result to obtain the Site Score.

#### SUMMARY

Answer the summary questions, which ask for a qualitative evaluation of the relative risk of targets being exposed to a hazardous substance from the site. You may find your responses to these questions a good cross-check against the way you scored the individual pathways. For example, if you scored the ground water pathway on the basis of no suspected release and secondary targets only, yet your response to question #1 is "yes," this presents apparently conflicting conclusions that you need to reconsider and resolve. Your answers to the questions on page 24 should be consistent with your evaluations elsewhere in the PA scoresheets package.

### SITE SCORE CALCULATION

	. <b>S</b>	S²
GROUND WATER PATHWAY SCORE (S):	54	2,916
SURFACE WATER PATHWAY SCORE (S.,):	100	10,000
SOIL EXPOSURE PATHWAY SCORE (S.):	3.1	9.6
AIR PATHWAY SCORE (S,):	/00	10,000
SITE SCORE:	Sgy1+Sgy1+Sg1+Sg1 4	75.7

### SUMMARY

		YES	NO
1.	Is there a high possibility of a threat to any nearby drinking water well(s) by migration of a hazardous substance in ground water?	100	=
	A. If yes, identity the weller.  Nearby well to North-West		
	B. If yes, how many people are served by the threatened well(s)?		
2.	Is there a high possibility of a threat to any of the following by hazardous substance migration in surface water?		
	A. Drinking water intake B. Fishery	000	00
	C. Sensitive environment (wetland, critical habitat, others)  D. If yes, identify the target(s).  Lake bearge		2
3.	Is there a high possibility of an area of surficial contamination within 200 feet of any residence, achoel, or daycare facility?	a	<b>12</b>
	If yes, identify the property(ies) and estimate the essociated population(s).		
4.	Are there public health concerns at this site that are not addressed by PA scoring considerations? If yes, explain:  Ashestor	<b>2</b>	0